THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES & SOCIAL STUDIES

An Investigation on the Impact of Audit Quality, Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality: Evidence from Malaysia

Shahanif Hasan

Ph.D. Student, Department of Business and Accountancy
University Selangor, Malaysia

Aza Azlina Md Kassim

Associate Professor, Department of Business and Accountancy
University Selangor, Malaysia

Mohamad Ali Abdul Hamid

Professor, Department of Accountancy,
Putra Business School, Malaysia

Abstract:

Purpose of study: In recent decades, there has been a noticeable increase in the practice of earnings management as a proxy for financial reporting, especially real activities, with effect on the quality of financial statements. The role of the audit committee in mitigating earnings management remains ambiguous because of inconclusive findings. Therefore, this study examines the moderating effect of audit quality and audit committee on financial reporting quality, also known as REM in Malaysian companies.

Methodology: The study utilized the multiple regression analytical technique approach with 2,226 firm-year observations of non-financial firms listed on the Bursa Malaysia over a six-year period from 2013 to 2018.

Results: The results show that corporate governance mechanism such as financial accounting expert, meeting and indicate significant results with real earnings management while, audit committee independence and size, shows an insignificant result on real earnings management. In addition, the results show that audit quality of the audit committee leads to less aggressive earnings management practice in real activities.

Implication/Application: The findings also show that audit quality and audit committee have a significant role in restricting the real earnings management. Audit quality is found to significantly moderate the relationship between audit committee with financial reporting quality proxy.

Novelty/Originality of this study: This study provides a reference point for the relevant parties such as regulatory bodies, policymakers and standard setters towards improving the quality of earnings and corporate governance practices in ensuring credible accounting information.

Keywords: Audit committee effectiveness, audit quality, real earnings management, in Malaysia

1. Introduction

These financial statements should not be intentionally prepared to mislead the user, but must provide reliable, timely, and relevant information to assist users when it comes to making important decisions (Kibiya, Che-Ahmad & Amran, 2016). This implies that information should be evidently recent, with additional facts supplied in the supporting foot note as a desire to assist in clarification. The information should not have any material error and bias, and should not be misleading (Kingsley, Gina & Vivian, 2014). Hence, the information needs to faithfully present the business activity and other events, reproduce basic substance of events and cautiously represent estimates and uncertainties using proper disclosure (Ofoegbu & Okoye, 2011). The steadfastness of this information depends on the relevance and reliability of accounting earning. Malaysia has not been insulated from firms' mischief and misconduct. Numerous eminent financial improprieties have taken place in Malaysia associated with large firms such as Transmile Group Bhd., Malaysian Airlines Systems, LFE corporation Berhad, PromtoBhd and MEMS Technology Bhd (Hasnan, Rahman & Mahenthiran, 2013). Sadique, Roudaki, Clark and Alias (2010) referred to a survey by PricewaterhouseCoopers that 48% of the companies in Malaysia were victims of economic crime, and with regard to fraud, 62% of listed companies were affected. The series of corporate scandals has caused a lot of damage to investors' confidence in the capital market of Malaysia (Kallamu & Saat, 2015). Due to the financial fraud cases highlighted above, Hasnan et al. (2013) argued that usually fraudulent financial reporting begins as earnings management (EM) and exhausts the most aggressive Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and grows over time to become norm. However, earnings management (EM) might be beneficial to reach stockholders' incentives and improves the information value of earnings (Rezaei & Roshani, 2012). There are two

categories of earnings management (EM) procedures, namely real earnings management (REM) as well as accruals earnings management (AEM) (Roychowdhury, 2006; Cupertino, Martinez & da Costa, 2015). Previous studies found that managers choose between the two earnings management (EM) strategies (Braswell & Daniels, 2017; Cohen, Dey & Lys, 2008), or jointly use both these methods when they have to deal with earnings management (EM) (Chen, Huang & Fan, 2012).

2. Literature Review

2.1. Audit Quality

The better audit is recognised for its independent assurance of the trustworthiness of financial statements, which enhances investors' protection and improves their confidence. AQ enhances FRQ by improving the investors' trust. AQ also upgrades FRQ by boosting the credibility of financial reports (DeFond and Zhang 2014; Gaynor et. Al, 2016). DeFond and Zhang (2014) also contended that AQ is an ongoing construct and that FRQ is one role of the AQ. This indicates that AQ and FRQ are collaboratively measurable results. As a result, numerous proxies have been utilized by different researches to gauge AQ.

2.2. Audit Quality Change

The occurrence of 'switching auditors' is seen to have a role in affecting the credibility of financial reporting and the monetary charges involved in supervising activities related to management (Huson et al., 2000). Academicians, experts in the field of accounting and industrial professionals have carried out in-depth studies on the substantial amount of auditor switching in developed nations since the beginning of 1970 but in Malaysia, such studies related to auditor switching to fathom the reasons behind such change is scarce. Switching or changing auditors means one auditor steps down from the client firm and is replaced by another. (Turner et al., 2005). In this country, switching of auditors or audit firms taking turns or rotations is not given open attention in any statute. This issue has not been touched upon in the Companies Act 1965 or in the Security Commissions Act 1985. The Malaysian Institute of Accountants (MIA) also has not drawn up any guidelines as to when a change in auditors or audit firm rotation can be carried out. Even though the chairperson of the Malaysian Accounting Standards Board (The Edge 2002) made a statement about its plan to put rotation of audit firms compulsory five years once, this plan has not been expedited. Subsequently, the impact of auditor switching on the independence of auditors in Malaysia is still vague.

2.3. Audit Committee

One of the basic functions of the AC is to supervise the financial reporting process, by overseeing the internal controls of the company to ensure its compliance with the laws and regulations (Rahman & Mohammed, 2016). The tasks of the AC include meeting with the external auditors personally to discuss audit related matters and to also propose and handle the coordination of the audit work with the audit staff (Jakpar, 2019). The AC, has for a long time, been viewed as indispensable in the organization in helping with the oversight of executive management by administering the oversight responsibilities in respect of accounting, finance and internal audit functions. One of the primary reasons for the setting up of AC's is to further improve the earnings and FRQ (Calomiris & Carlson, 2016). According to Abbadi, Hijazi, Al-Rahahleh, (2016); Calomiris & Carlson (2016), there is a negative relationship between misleading information and gaining the trust of executives. Whereas, Mohamad et al., (2012), found a strong relationship between the AC and accruals quality. However, there was no evidence found by Lin et al, about the relationship between mastery of money related matters and the individuals making up the review board of trustees.

2.4. Quality of Financial Reporting (FRQ)

The financial reports are considered to be a useful method of communicating financial information to potential users. Owing to the imbalance in the information provided and agency disagreements between managers' interests and outside consumers, auditors are obligated to audit financial reporting. This is an option available for monitoring arrangements that can enhance the financial reporting which consequently increase investors' confidence about the firm's performance and traded securities that reflect the company image (Ismail et al., 2010; Johl, Kaur & Cooper, 2015).

3. Methodology/Materials

3.1. Development of Theoretical Framework

Many studies have investigated the direct association between AC variables and EM. Referring to the framework, the model will investigate the moderating influence of audit quality on the association between AC with EM in REM. The theoretical framework of this study is grounded in similar work as explained in chapter two. The study will control for firm size and firm leverage, as they have been shown to have an impact on EM in previous studies (Guo et al., 2015; Roychowdhury, 2006; Wang, 2006). Figure 1 represents the theoretical research framework and hypothesis development of AQ on FRQ. This study is in agreement with the conditions set by Baron and Kenny (1986) for moderating path analysis. For instance, to establish moderation, certain conditions as noted in the study of Baron and Kenny (1986) must be met in order to claim that mediation occurs.

Figure 1: Theoretical Research Framework of the Study

3.2. The Quality of Financial Reporting (FRQ)

The quality of financial reporting has two main aspects: The present study focuses on earnings quality as a component of FRQ. In addition to third-party assessments or through a survey of stakeholder perception. This research expands real earning management measure, as in prior studies that proposed and improved this measure.

3.3. Data Collection Procedures

Following are the steps employed in data collection in this research. First Collecting the list of all trading companies that were consistently and continuously quoted on the Bursa Malaysia published from 2013 to 2018. Second is identifying companies that published complete their financial statements during the observation period of 2013-2018. Financial statements for 2012 were used to calculate the excess or difference with the previous year when calculating the earnings management variable and third identifying companies which had incomplete data on variables of interest required in this study. After compiling data for all necessary variables (dependent, independent, and moderating variables), 814 companies were found to have complete data for all the variables required. For six years, a total of 2226 observations is analysed.

3.4. The Relationship between Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality (Earnings Management)

3.4.1. Audit Committee Independence (ACIND)

The resource dependence theory posits that independent directors play a significant role in providing particular resources that are unavailable to the management. Some studies found that committee independence correlated negatively with audit committee independence and earnings management Ismail and Kamarudin (2017). This validate some studies revealed that AC Independence has positive influence on discretionary accruals (DA) and restatement (Al-Rassas&Kamardin 2015; Gao& Huang 2016). This indicates that higher ratio of AC independence on the board is correlated with higher level of abnormal accruals and the likelihood that firms restates earnings. This affirmed by the recent study of Poretti et al. (2018) contend that more the percentage of independence directors in the companies' AC the higher the market reactions to earnings pronouncements. They advocate that more autonomous audit committee pronouncements. Moreover, Amin et al (2018) reveal that AC independence has a negative relationship with DA and get a similar result with Hasanet, al, (2019). Hence, it is hypothesized that:

- Hypothesis 1 (H1): ACIND is negatively with real earnings management (REM) among Malaysian listed companies

3.4.2. Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert (ACFEX)

The resource dependence theory explains that the AC's role is to provide resources in the form of expertise and experience in order for firms to gain competitive advantage, especially in financial reporting quality. These experts are expected to mitigate the agency problem that arises from the managers' ability to manipulate earnings reports. Because they indicate support for the financial statement credibility, ACs having financial expertise have been considered as a strength (Burrowes& Hendricks, 2005), as lower EM (Badolato et al., 2014) and as high quality earnings reporting (He & Yang, 2014). However, some studies (Katmon& Al Farooque, 2015) found insignificant relationship between AC financial expertise and DA. On the other hand, Carrera et al (2017) examines AC and FRQ in US. This indicates increasing proportion of AC members with financial accounting expertise decreases FRQ. Having financial expertise in the AC shows that the internal audit programme will although independent directors with financial backgrounds might be monitors with good intentions, it is desirable for monitors to have sophistication in financial matters to detect financial. However, there is no response to the employment of a financial expert with a non-accounting background. Therefore, the following hypothesis is formulated:

- Hypothesis 2 (H2): The financial expertise of the audit committee is positively associated with real earnings management (REM) among Malaysian listed companies

3.4.3. Audit Committee Meetings (ACMEET)

Consistent with agency theory Beasley et al (2009) argued that members of the Audit committee are committed to meaningful and substantive meetings which sill in turn lead to better monitoring and improve financial reporting process. Previous literature contended that frequency of AC meeting reduces the degree of financial

restatement. Habbash and Alagla (2015) argued that more frequent meetings reduce DA and enhances FRQ. In contrast, other studies report insignificant relations between AC meeting DA (Bamahros&Bhasin 2016; Habbash&Alagla 2015). An increase in frequency is an indication that the committee is more efficient and committed to producing quality performance Abbott, (2016). More recently, Shankariah and Amin (2017) examine AC quality and FRQ in India. The study reveals that AC meeting have negative significant impact on FRQ proxy DA. The hypothesis is below:

- Hypothesis 3 (H3): ACMEET is positively associated with real earning management (REM) among Malaysian listed companies.

3.4.4. Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE)

With respect to resource dependence theory AC size has been considered to highly resourceful, thereby improving the FRQ as a result of diverse skill expertise and experience they share amongst themselves Dhaliwal et al., (2010). In addition, Setiany et al., (2017) examine AC attributes and voluntary financial disclosure in Indonesia. The study reveals that AC size has positive significant influence on voluntary financial disclosure of firms. Mohammed, Ahmad and Ji (2017) reveal a positive significant association between AC size and accounting conservatism. However, other studies found insignificant relationship between AC size and FRQ (Hasanet, al (2019), Jatiningrum et al., 2016; Bamahros&Bhasin, 2016). This led to formulating the hypothesis:

- Hypothesis 4 (H4): ACSIZE is negatively associated with real earning management (REM) among Malaysian listed companies.

3.5. The Relationship between Audit Quality on Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality

3.5.1. Audit Quality Change

3.5.1. 1. Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee Independence (ACIND) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ)

According to Hamilton et al., (2005), one crucial indicator of high AQ lies in the credibility of financial statements because this credibility paves the way to audit independence. In this context, audit rotation is believed to be an effort to upgrade AQ and thereby enhance the audit independence. If auditors and their clients have long standing relationship, this might obstruct the capability of the auditors to carry out high quality auditing. Elsewhere, research has been conducted by OnwuchekwaErah and Izedonmi (2012) on the impact of compulsory audit change upon auditor independence in the face of global audit downfall cases such as WorldCom, Enron and Global Crossing in the US; Metageshaft in Germany and Pramalat in Italy. In the same breath, Malaysia is no exception as it has also faced the downfall of audit independence which led to corporate crashes like NasionCom, Transmile Group, and Megan Media. In the existing literature, very limited studies have investigated the Audit Change on Audit Committee characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM. In line is above argument the study hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 5 (H5) The Audit Quality Change has a positive insignificant effect on Audit Committee Independence and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)

3.6. Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert (ACFEX) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ)

Aronmwan et al. (2013) in their study on audit firm reputation and audit quality, controlled for audit quality using audit committee financial expertise as captured using the number of members with accounting expertise. They found an insignificant but positive relationship with audit quality. The existing literature shows very limited studies have investigated the Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM. In line is above argument the study hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 6 (H6) The Audit Quality Change has a positive effect on Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)

3.7. Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee Meeting (ACMEET) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ)

Stewart and Munro (2007) using an experimental design, observed that respondents align to the perception that audit committee meeting; a proxy for the diligence and activity of the AC should be within 2 to 6 times in a year. Specifically, they believe that meeting just twice in a year is too infrequent to allow for effectiveness and meeting about 6 times in a year is too frequent and would be cost ineffective. Thus, they advocated for a midpoint of 4 times in a year. According to Xie, Davison and DaDalt (2003), an indirect relationship exists between the number of committee meetings and the levels of earnings management. In the existing literature, very limited studies have investigated the Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM. In line is above argument the study hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 7 (H7) The Audit Quality Change has a positive effect on Audit Committee Meeting and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)

3.8. Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ)

Large clients are less likely to dismiss their auditors (Francis and Wilson, 1988; Haskins and Williams, 1990; Krishnan, 1994). This is because financial analysts and the financial press scrutinize large companies' auditor dismissals closely and this factor might prevent larger companies from changing auditor as frequently as smaller companies (Carcello et al., 2002). The existing literature, very limited studies have investigated the Audit Change on Audit Committee characteristics and Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM. In line is above argument the study hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 8 (H8) The Audit Quality Change has a negative significant on Audit Committee Size and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)

4. Results and Findings

This study adopts multiple regression models to examine the relationships between the dependent, independent, and moderating variables. For the four regression models of fixed effect, method is run on SPSS to answer the hypotheses.

4.1. Testing of t Statistic and (p-value)

The result of regression on the relationship between audit committee and financial reporting quality using panel data fixed effect method with SPSS is shown in Table 1 to answer Hypothesis (H1, H2, H3 and H4).

Equation:

$$REM = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ACIND + \beta_2 ACFEX + \beta_3 ACMEET + \beta_4 ACSIZE + \beta_5 LEV + \beta_6 FSIZE + e$$

	Model	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	
Hypothesis		Beta			Decision
	(Constant)		1.044	0.296	
H1	ACIN	0.02	0.705	0.481	Rejected
H2	ACFX	-0.07	-3.317	0.001	Accepted
H3	ACMEETG	-0.077	-3.592	0	Accepted
H4	ACSIZ	-0.013	-0.445	0.657	Rejected
	LEV	0.177	8.386	0	
	FSIZE	0.006	0.276	0.782	

Table 1: Testing of t Statistic

ACIND1, t	=	the ratio of 'independent AC members at the firm i in year t'.
ACFEX 1, t	=	Total number of AC members with financial and accounting Background
ACMEET1, t	=	the yearly number of AC meetings at the firm i in year t
ACSIZE1, t	=	the total number of members on the AC at company i in year t
FSIZE 1, t	=	Natural log of total assets i in year t
FRMLEV 1, t	=	Total long-term debt divided by total assets at the firm in year t
ϵ	=	Error

This study relies on previous studies that have developed proxies for REM. Following Roy Chowdhury (2006), Cohen et al. (2008), and Cohen and Zarowin (2010), the proxies for the intensity of REM is carried out by focusing on three methods of manipulating real activities: abnormal levels of operating cash flows, abnormal discretionary expenses and abnormal production costs. The abnormal levels of the above real activities are obtained by employing a separate cross-sectional regression by industry and year as:

$$CFO_t / TA_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1/TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

$$DISEXP_t / TA_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1/TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_2 (Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

$$PROD_t / TA_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1/TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \alpha_4 (\Delta Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (3)$$

Where,

CFO_t = Current cash flow from operation

DISEXP_t = Discretionary expenses (Selling General & Administrative + R & D)

PROD_t = Production costs (Cost of Goods Sold + Change in Inventory)

St = Current sales

ΔSt = Change in current sales

St-1 = Lagged sales

ΔSt-1 = Change in lagged sales

TA_{t-1} = Lagged total assets

$$REM = ACFO (-1) + ADISEXP (-1) + APROD \quad (4)$$

Table 1 shows that the significant of ACIND (.481) is negative in its direction, which indicates that audit committee independent has an adverse effect on real earning management. The significant value of ACFEX (.001) is also positive, indicating that the audit committee's financial expertise has a positive effect on real earning management. The significant value for ACMEET (.000) is also positive, suggesting that the number of audit committee meetings has an adverse effect on real earning management. In line with *a priori* expectation, the significant value of ACSIZE is negative (.657), which confirms that audit committee size has a negative effect on real earning management. The results of the research hypothesis testing decision were presented briefly in Table 4.2

Hypothesis		Predicted Sign	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Decision
			Beta			
	(Constant)	+/-		1.729	.084	
	LEV	+	.186	7.075	.000	
	FSIZE	+	.009	.447	.655	
	AQBIG4	-	-.381	-1.369	.171	
	AQCHANGE	-	-.068	-.365	.715	
	ACIN	-	-.109	-2.218	.027	
	ACFX	-	-.122	-4.072	.000	
	ACMEETG	-	-.215	-6.880	.000	
	ACSIZ	+	.152	2.968	.003	
	AQCHANGE*LEV	-	-.002	-.072	.943	
	AQCHANGE*FSIZE	+	.006	.191	.849	
H5	AQCHANGE*ACIND	-	.003	.117	.907	Rejected
H6	AQCHANGE*ACFEX	+	.071	2.673	.008	Accepted
H7	AQCHANGE*ACMEET	-	.048	1.767	.077	Accepted
H8	AQCHANGE*ACSIZE	+	-.056	-1.818	.069	Accepted

Table 2: Summary of Testing Decisions

4.2. Results of Hypothesis Testing

4.2.1. Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality

Table 1 shows the result for t-value is .705 and value of significance is $0.481 > 0.05$. This finding is corroborated by previous studies (Habbash, 2010; Saliman & Raga, 2014) 'can be useful to constrain EM practices. Nevertheless, these results are not in line with other prior studies which found indications that EM may be negatively related. Abdul-Manaf et al. (2018) reveals that audit committee independence is negatively related to real earnings management. This finding might be affected through various EM types. Table 1 'shows t value is -3.317 and value of significance is $0.001 < 0.05$ '. This finding is in line with previous studies (Nelson & Devi, 2013) which document that the financial expertise of the audit committee is effective in curbing EM activity. However, it also contradicts the findings of Habbash et al. (2013) and Rahman & Ali (2006) where no negative ACFEX and EM was found. Table 1 shows 't-value = -3.592 and value of significance is $0.000 < 0.05$ '. That means is positive. This finding might support the proposition that audit committee meeting numbers extensively reduce EM.

According to the fourth hypothesis H4 in Table 1 the result shows 't-value = -0.445 and value of significance is $0.657 > 0.05$ '. This finding is similar to Sharma & Kuang's (2014) study. Other studies found that the audit committee's larger size does not considerably reduce EM. However, since any relationship with statistical significance is absent, the coefficient has taken negative directional sign. The result is similar to a vast majority of studies (e.g., Habbash, 2010; Alkadi et al., 2012; Habbash et al., 2013) did not discover a major impact on the size of the audit committee on EM. Abdul-Manaf et al. (2018) reveals that audit committee size is negatively related to real earnings management. This Finding found the proposition that the audit committees' larger size does not reduce EM considerably.

4.2.2. Audit Quality Change on Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality Proxy REM

In this study hypothesis (H9) therefore states that Audit Quality Change as a Moderator on Audit Committee Independence (ACIND) has positive and insignificant effects on Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM in the context of Malaysian companies. Based on the result of Table 4.2, the Audit Committee Independence (ACIND) is insignificant at the alpha level of 0.05. It means that The Audit Quality Change is not proven as moderating the effect on Audit Committee Independence (ACIND) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ). Chen and Zhou (2007) revealed that companies which had more independent boards dismiss auditors earlier and appointed Big 4 auditors as replacement.

In this study hypothesis (H10) therefore states that Audit Quality Change as a Moderator on Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert (ACFEX) has positive and significant effects on Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM in the context of Malaysian companies. Based on the result of Table 4.2, the Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert (ACFEX) is significant at the alpha level of 0.05. It means that The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert (ACFEX) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ). A study by Blouin, Grein

and Rountree (2007) showed there is scant evidence about governance mechanisms and their influence on the appointment of company auditors.

In this study hypothesis (H11) therefore states that Audit Quality Change as a Moderator on Audit Committee Meeting (ACMEET) has positive and significant effects on Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM in the context of Malaysian companies. Based on the result of Table 4.2, the Audit Committee Meeting (ACMEET) is significant at the alpha level of 0.10. It means that The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Meeting (ACMEET) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ). According to Jensen and Meckling (1976), when managerial ownership goes up, agency costs are reduced. Contrarily, DeFond (1992) found a negative association between internal ownership changes and changes in the audit firm quality.

In this study hypothesis (H12) therefore states that Audit Quality Change as a Moderator on Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE) has negative and significant effects on Financial Reporting Quality proxy REM in the context of Malaysian companies. Based on the result of Table 4.2, the Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE) is significant at the alpha level of 0.10. It means that The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Size (ACSIZE) and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ). Bigger firms usually have a more complex structure than smaller ones and a majority of studies have found that there is a stable and consistent association between client size and changes in auditors (Sankaraguruswamy and Whisenant, 2004).

5. Conclusion

This chapter concludes the research. It begins by presenting a concise summary of the research results. This is followed by discussing the findings and implications. After this, the research draws to a close by detailing the research limitations before offering recommendations for future research. These findings are made available to regulators, it will help them identify and formulate effective mechanisms of corporate governance as well as to evaluate the requirements of disclosure. The primary purpose of this study has been to investigate the effect on the relationship between the audit committee and quality of financial reporting among listed firms in Malaysia. The study was conducted on a sample of 814 listed trading companies in Malaysia for the period from 2013 to 2018. Having tested the hypothesised relationships like Malaysia, this research has helped create a more inclusive global picture of the relationship between the AC and FRQ. Based on analysed data from audit committee independence, audit committee financial accounting expert, audit committee meeting and audit committee size, the result shows the relationship between audit committee financial accounting expert and real earning management is influenced by the level of the audit change. Based on the results and discussion about effect testing between variables, it can be concluded several things as follows:

- H1 Audit Committee Independence had a positive effect on financial reporting quality (FRQ) Real Earning Management (REM)
- H2 Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert had a significant negative effect on financial reporting quality (FRQ) Real Earning Management (REM)
- H3 Audit Committee Meeting had a significant negative effect on financial reporting quality (FRQ) Real Earning Management (REM)
- H4 Audit Committee Size had a negative effect on financial reporting quality (FRQ) Real Earning Management (REM)
- H5 The Audit Quality Change is not proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Independence and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)
- H6 The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Financial Accounting Expert and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)
- H7 The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Meeting and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)
- H8 The Audit Quality Change is proven to moderate the effect on Audit Committee Size and Financial Reporting Quality (FRQ) Proxy Real Earning Management (REM)

6. References

- i. Abbott, L., J., Daugherty, B., Parker, s., & Peters G. F. (2016) internal and financial reporting quality. The Joint Importance of Independence and competence, *Journal of Accounting Research*, 54(1), 3-40
- ii. Abdul Rahman, R., & Ali, F. H. M. (2006). Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(7), 783–804.
- iii. Abdullah, S. N., Halim, N. F. C. A., & Nelson, S. P. (2014). The impact of new regulations on earnings quality among Malaysian firms. *International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting*, 22(2), 21-68.
- iv. Adams, R., & Ferreira, D. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics* 94 (2): 291–309.
- v. Al-Rassas AH, Kamardin H (2016) Earnings quality and audit attributes in high concentrated ownership market. Corporate Governance: *The international journal of business in society* 16(2): 377–399. doi: 10.1108/CG-08-2015-0110.
- vi. Albersmann, B. T., & Hohenfels, D. (2017). Audit committees and earnings management: Evidence from the German two-tier board system. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 1–31.

- vii. Amin. A., Lukviarman, N., & Setiany. E. (2018) Audit Committee characteristics and audit earnings quality: Empirical evidence of the company with concentrated ownership. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 7(1). 18-33.
- viii. Ararat, M. & Alkan, S. (2014). 2014 annual report of women on board Turkey. Retrieved from http://Women_on_board_Turkey_2014_2nd_Annual_Report.pdf
- ix. Asare, S. K., R. A. Davidson, and A. A. Gramling. (2008). internal auditors' evaluation of fraud factors in planning an audit: The importance of audit committee quality and management incentives. *International Journal of Auditing* 12 3: 181-203.
- x. Bamahros, H.M. & Basin, M. (2016) Audit Committee characteristics and unexpected accruals. An Empirical study of Malaysia, *Wulfenia Journal* 23(3) 181-199.
- xi. Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. a. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
- xii. Baber, W. R., Liang, L., & Zhu, Z. (2012). Associations between internal and external corporate governance characteristics: implications for investigating financial accounting restatements. *Accounting Horizons*, 26(2), 219-237.
- xiii. Beasley, M.S. Caroline. N. Hermanson, D. R., & Neal, T. L., (2009) The audit committee oversight process. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 26(1), 65-122
- xiv. Bin-Ghanen. H., & Ariff, A.M. (2016). The effect of board of directors and audit committee effectiveness on internal financial reporting. Evidence from Gulf Cooperation Council Countries, *Journals of Accounting in emerging Economics* 6(4), 429-448.
- xv. Bédard, J., & Gendron, Y. (2010). Strengthening the financial reporting system: Can audit committees deliver? *International Journal of Auditing*, 14, 174-210.
- xvi. Badolato, P. G., Donelson, D. C., & Ege, M. (2014). Audit committee financial expertise and earnings management: The role of status. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 58(2-3), 208-230
- xvii. Bradbury, M., Mak, Y. T., & Tan, S. (2006). Board Characteristics, audit committee characteristics and abnormal accruals. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 18(2), 47-68.
- xviii. Braswell, M., & Daniels, R. B. (2017). Alternative earnings management techniques: What audit committees and internal auditors should know? *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, 28(2), 45-54.
- xix. Brooks, P., & Zank, H. (2005). Loss Averse Behavior. *Journal of Risks and Uncertainty*, pp.310-325.
- xx. Burrowes, A., & Hendricks, A. (2005). Independent financial experts: From wished for to wistful thinking. *Managerial Finance*, 31(9), 52-62.
- xxi. Carrera, N., Sohail, T., & Carmona, S. (2017). Audit committees' social capital and financial reporting quality. *Accounting and business research*, 47 (5). 1-40. <http://doi.org/10.1080.00014788.2017.1299617>
- xxii. Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Ye, Z. (Shelly). (2011). corporate governance research in accounting and auditing: Insights, practice implications, and future research directions. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 30(3), 1-31.
- xxiii. Chen, C.-L., Huang, S.-H., & Fan, H.-S. (2012). Complementary association between real activities and accruals-based manipulation in earnings reporting. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform*, 15(2), 93-108.
- xxiv. Cohen, D. A., & Zarowin, P. (2010). Accrual-based and real earnings management activities around seasoned equity offerings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(1), 2-19.
- xxv. Cupertino, C. M., Martinez, A. L., & da Costa, N. C. A. (2015). Earnings manipulations by real activities management and investors' perceptions. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 34(November), 309-323.
- xxvi. Defond, M. L & Zhang, J. (2016). A review of archival auditing research. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 58(2-3), 275-326.
- xxvii. Deloitte (2014). Risk Committee Resource Guide. Deloitte Centre.
- xxviii. Dhaliwal, D., Naiker. V., & Navissi, F. (2010), the association between accruals quality and the characteristics of accounting experts and mix of expertise on audit committees. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 27 (3), 787-827.
- xxix. Dionne, G., Chun, O.M. & Thouraya, T. (2015). Risk management and corporate governance: the importance of independence and financial knowledge. Available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2082515> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2082515>
- xxx. Enomoto, M., Kimura, F., & Yamaguchi, T. (2015). Accrual-based and real earnings management: An international comparison for investor protection. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 11(3), 183-198.
- xxxi. Guo, J., Huang, P., Zhang, Y., & Zhou, N. (2015). Foreign ownership and real earnings management: Evidence from Japan. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 14(2), 185-213.
- xxxii. Guo, H., Huang, J. (2016). The even - odd nature of audit committees and corporate earnings quality. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance*. 31(4), 1-25 from Japan. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 14(2), 185-213.
- xxxiii. Habbash, M. (2010). The effectiveness of corporate governance and external audit on constraining Earnings management practice in the UK. Durham University.

- xxxiv. Habbash, M., Sindezingue, C., & Salama, A. (2013). The Effect of Audit Committee Characteristics on Earning Management. Evidence from United Kingdom International Journal of disclosure and governance, 10(1), 13-38.
- xxxv. Habbash M., & Alagla, S. (2015) audit committee effectiveness and evidence from Saudi Arabia, *Journal of Administrative and Economic Science*, 8(2), 41-60
- xxxvi. Haji, A. A., Anifowose, M. (2016). Audit committee and integrated reporting practice: Does internal assurance matter? *Managerial Auditing Journal Audit*, 31, 8/9. 915-948.
- xxxvii. Hasan. S., Jatiningrum. C, Fauzi, & Abdul-Hamid. M.A (2019). The Moderating Effect of Audit Quality on Audit Committee and Financial Reporting Quality in Malaysia. *Opcion*, Año 35, Especial N° 19 (2019):2899-2921 ISSN 1012-1587/ISSNe: 2477-9385
- xxxviii. Hassan, M. S., Mohd Saleh, N., Yatim, P., & Che Abdul Rahrnan, M. R. (2012). Risk management committee and financial instrument disclosure. *Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 3, 13-28.
- xxxix. Hashim, H. A., & Devi, S. S. (2008). Corporate governance, ownership structure and earnings quality: Malaysian evidence. *Research in Accounting and Emerging Economies*, 8, 97-123.
- xl. He, L., & Yang, R. (2014). Does industry regulation matter? New evidence on audit committees and earnings management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 123(4), 573-589.
- xli. Hillman, A. J., Withers, M. C., & Collins, B. J. (2009). Resource dependence theory: A review. *Journal of Management*, 35(6), 1404-1427.
- xl. Ika, S. R., & Ghazali, N. A. M. (2012). Audit committee effectiveness and timeliness of reporting: Indonesian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 27(4), 403- 424.
- xliii. Ismail, W. A. W., Dunstan, K. L., & Van Zijl, T. (2010). Earnings quality and corporate governance following the implementation of Malaysian code of corporate governance. Available at SSRN. http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Wan_Adibah_Wan_Ismail/publication/228121833_Earnings_Quality_and_Corporate_Governance_Following_the_Implementation_of_Malaysian_Code_of_Corporate_Governance/links/0deec52d24feac7bff000000.pdf
- xliv. Jones, J. J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 193-228.
- xl. Juhl, S. K., Kaur, S., & Cooper, B.J. (2013). Board characteristics firm performance, evidence from Malaysian public listed firms. *Journal of Economics, Business and Economic management*, 3(2), 239-243
- xlvi. Jatiningrum, C., Abdul-Hamid, M. A., Irviani, R., Gumanti, M. (2016). Disclosure quality and corporate governance mechanisms: Evidence from concentrated ownership structure in Indonesia. *Proceeding Social Science and Economics International Conference (SOSEIC)*, 19-20 February.
- xl. Jensen, M., & Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305- 350.
- xl. Kallamu, B. S. (2015). Risk management committee attributes and firm performance. *Journal of International Finance and Banking*, 2(2), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ifb.v2i2.8580>
- xlix. Kasznik, R. 1999. On the Association between Voluntary Disclosure and Earnings Management. *Journal of Accounting Research* 29, Autum, p. 303-321
- l. K. Kamarudin, W. Wan Ismail, M. Ibrahim & M. Zain (2017). Market Perception of Income Smoothing Practices: Malaysian Evidence. *Financial Reporting in Malaysia: Further Evidence*, University, Publication Centre (UPENA), pageno: 1 – 18
- li. Kibiya. M. U., Che-Ahmad, A.B., & Amran, N.A., (2016). Financial reporting quality, does regulatory changes matter? share ownership and financial reporting quality: Further evidence from Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(7). 125-131
- lii. Katmon, N., & Al Farooque, O. (2015). Exploring the Impact of Internal Corporate Governance on the Relation Between Disclosure Quality and Earnings 252 Management in the UK Listed Companies. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-23.
- liii. Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings management.
- liv. Lin, T., Hutchinson, M., & Percy, M. (2015). Earnings management and the role of the audit committee: An investigation of the influence of cross-listing and government officials on the audit committee. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 19(1), 197-227.
- lv. Lawlor, B. (2012). Board risk committees. *Corporate Governance*, 44(6), 40-42.
- lvi. Md Yusof, M.A & Ishak, S. (2013). The Formation of Separate Risk Management Committee and The Effect on Modified Audit Report, *Malaysian Management Journal*, Vol. 17, 43- 58.
- lvii. Mohammed. N. F., Ahmed. K., & Ji. X-D (2017). Accounting Conservatism, Corporate Governance and Political Connections. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 25(2), 288-318. <http://doi.org/10.1108.ARA-04-2016-0041>.
- lviii. Nawaiseh, M.E (2016) Impact of External on Earnings Management by Banking Firms: Evidence from Jordan *BJAST*, 12(2): 1-14.
- lix. Ng, T-H., Chong, L-L., & Ismail, H. (2013). Is risk management committee only a procedural compliance? An insight into managing risk taking among insurance companies in Malaysia. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 14(1), 71-86.
- lx. Pucheta-Martinez, M. C., & Garcia-Meca, E. (2014). Institutional investors on boards and audit committees and their effects on financial reporting quality. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 22(4), 347-363.
- lxi. Puat Nelson, S., & Devi, S. (2013). Audit committee experts and earnings quality. *Corporate Governance*, 13(4), 335-35 1.

- lxii. Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (1978). The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective. New York: Harper & Row.
- lxiii. Poretti, C., Schatt, A and Bruynseels, L (2018) Audit Committees' independence and the information content of earning announcements in western Europe, *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 40, 29-53.
- lxiv. Rasli, A., Goh, C. F., & Khan, S. (2013). Demystifying the role of a state ownership in corporate governance and firm performance: Evidence from the manufacturing sector in Malaysia. *Zbornik Radova Ekonomskog Fakulteta U Rijeci: Časopis Za Ekonomsku Teoriju I Praksu*, 31(2), 233-252.
- lxv. Roychowdhury, S. (2006). Earnings management through real activities manipulation. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(3), 335-370.
- lxvi. Rahman, R. A., & Ali, F. H. M. (2006). Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(7), 783-804. <http://doi.org/10.1108/02686900610680549>
- lxvii. Salleh, Z., & Stewart, J. (2012). The role of the audit committee in resolving auditor-client disagreements: a Malaysia study. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 25(8), 1340-1372
- lxviii. Salleh, N. M. Z. N., & Haat, M. H. C (2014). Audit committee and earnings management: Pre and Post MCGG. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, 3 (1), 307-318
- lxix. Saleem, E., Alzoub, S., (2016) and Earnings Management Evidence: from Jordan, *Journal of Applied Accounting research* 17(2) 170-189.
- lxx. Setiany, E., Hartoko, s., Suhardjanto, D., & Honggowati, S. (2017) audit committee characteristics and voluntary financial disclosure. *Review of Integrative business and Economics Research*, 6(3), 239-253
- lxxi. Sharma, V. D., & Kuang, C. (2014). Voluntary audit committee characteristics, incentives, and aggressive earnings management: Evidence from New Zealand. *International Journal of Auditing*, 18(1), 76-89.
- lxxii. Shawtari, F. A., Salem, M. A., Hussain, H. I., Alaeddin, O. & Thabit, O. B. (2016). Corporate Governance and Valuation: Inferences from Quantile Regression. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 21, 81-88
- lxxiii. Shahkaraiah, K., & Amiri, S.M. (2017). Audit committee quality and financial reporting quality: A study of selected Indian companies. *Journal of Accounting and Business*. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jdab.v4i1.6653>.
- lxxiv. Soliman, M. M., & Ragab, A. A. (2014). Audit committee effectiveness, and earnings management: An empirical study of the listed companies in Egypt. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 5(2), 155-166.
- lxxv. Sun, J., Lan, G., & Liu, G. (2014). Independent audit committee characteristics and real earnings management. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 29(2), 153-172.
- lxxvi. Vieira, E. F. S. (2016). Earnings management in public family firms under economic adversity. *Australian Accounting Review*, 26(2), 190-207.
- lxxvii. Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (2014). Agency problems, auditing, and the theory of the firm: some evidence, 26.
- lxxviii. Wang, D. (2006). Founding family ownership and earnings quality. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 44(3), 619-656.
- lxxix. Wen Yao, L., & Qin, L. (2007, Sept). Board of director composition and audit opinions. Paper presented at the international conference on wireless communication, networking and mobile computing, Shanghai.
- lxxx. Younas, Z. I., Siddiqi, M. W., Saeed, A., & Mehrnood, B. (2011). Relationship between corporate governance and default risk: empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(2), 1549- 1564.
- lxxxi. Yoon, S. S., Miller, G., & Jiraporn, P. (2006). Earnings management vehicles for Korean firms. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 17(2), 85-109. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-646X.2006.00122>.
- lxxxii. Zalewska, A. (2014). Challenge of Corporate Governance: Twenty years after Cadbury, ten years After Sarbanes-Oxley. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 27, 1-9.
- lxxxiii. Zgarni, I., Hlioui, K & Zehri, F. (2016). Effective audit committee and earning management: Evidence from Tunisia. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economics articles information*, 6, 138-155