THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES & SOCIAL STUDIES

Influence of Cognitive Styles and Gender on Upper Basic III Science Students' Achievement in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

Dr. Samuel, Iwanger Ruth Lecturer, Department of Science, Technology and Mathematics Education Nasarawa State University, Nasarawa State, Nigeria Dr. Musa, Danjuma Christopher Lecturer, Department of Science, Technology and Mathematics Education

Nasarawa State University, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

Abstract:

This study investigated the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students' achievement in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. The study was a descriptive survey research design. The population consisted of 1,890 upper basic III Science students in 25 coeducational schools in Keffi Education zone. The sample of the study comprised 245 upper basic III Science students drawn from six intact classes of six coeducational schools in the zone. The schools were randomly selected using disproportionate stratified random sampling techniques from the schools that satisfied the condition that their students were taught by qualified teachers over the years. Two instruments were employed for data collection namely; Cognitive Style Checklist (CSC) with a reliability coefficient of 0.79 and Basic Science Achievement Test (BSAT) with a reliability coefficient of 0.83. Descriptive statistics of means and standard deviations were used to answer the research questions while Z-test was used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance. The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than the those in the Field Dependence (FD). The findings also revealed that male students in both the Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved better than the female students significantly in Basic Science. Based on the findings of this study, it was recommendation that seminars and workshops should be organized to adequately equip teachers with the needed skills to create an environment where students with different cognitive styles can experience meaningful learning of Basic Science.

Keywords: Achievement, basic science, cognitive styles and gender.

1. Introduction

Basic Science is the foundational science subject taught at the Basic School level of the Nigerian educational system. It is a prerequisite subject for science subjects at the Senior Secondary and other applied Science at the tertiary institutions of learning (Samuel, 2017). The relevance of Basic Science in all fields of Science made it imperative to be included in the curriculum of Junior Secondary School as enshrined in the national policy of education (Federal Republic of Nigeria (FRN), 2014).

Basic Science education's purpose is to train students to acquire proper understanding of basic principles as well as application. It is also aimed at developing appropriate scientific skills and attitudes as a prerequisite for future scientific activities. To achieve these objectives, active participation and collaborative learning activities become imperative and these need functioning instructional media to make Basic Science instruction effective (Osokoya, 2013; Samuel, 2017; Eriba & Samuel, 2018; Agu & Samuel, 2018).

Despite the relevance of Basic Science to national development, security, economy, manpower and government's efforts to improve science instruction in schools, students' achievement is below average. This has become a great concern for Science educators especially at the foundational level. Researchers such as (Bukunola & Idowu, 2012; Osokoya, 2013; Alabi, 2014; Oni, 2014; Kabutu, Oloyede & Bandele, 2015, Samuel, 2017;Nwadinigu & Azuka-Obieke, 2012; Igoegwu & Okonkwo, 2012; Amoo, 2013; Kola & Taiwo, 2013) opined that underachievement in Science among secondary school students could be attributed to several factors such as poor teaching, psychological factors, unpreparedness on the part of the students, poor learning environment, school locations, gender stereotyping, dearth of qualified teachers among others. As a result of the decline in Science students' achievement, stakeholders in STM agree that the huge investment in Science and Technology education is not yielding the desired dividend.

Gender remains an important factor to be considered in the determination of students' academic achievement. Gender has been identified as a major factor that affects students' achievement in science examinations and science and technology endeavor (Omiko, 2017). Oni (2014) posited that in Nigeria, women are marginalized while men are given greater opportunities to advance based on their Science background. In the Nigerian setting, this factor has been found to offer males an unfair advantage over their female counterparts. Alabi (2014) reported that women are hindered from progressing through discrimination on the basis of gender, early marriage and child bearing and as a result, they are deprived sound education, job opportunities and incapacitated and rendered passive generally in the society. Researchers (Oludipe, 2012; Kola & Taiwo, 2013) in their various studies observed that there is no significant difference between male and female achievement; on the other hand, (Onuekusi & Ogomaka, 2013; Igoegwu & Okonkwo, 2012; Okereke, 2011) found out that a significant difference did exist between the achievement of male and female students in favour of the male students. Nevertheless, there is no specific study on the influence of cognitive style and gender on upper basic III Science students' achievement and Nasarawa State; hence the need for this study.

Cognitive style is a psychological construct which is concerned with how an individual learns, thinks, solve problems, remembers and relates to others. It represents the individual differences in the various subcomponents of an information-processing model of three main cognitive processes: perception, memory and thought. Cognitive style is considered to be personality dimension that influences attitudes, values and social interaction. It is an individual characteristic mode of perceiving and processing information in the environment (Hall, 2000). An individual is either Field-independent (FI) or Field-dependent (FD). A Field-independent (FI) cognitive style learner is described as analytic, competitive, individualistic, task-oriented, internally referent, intrinsically motivated (self-study), self-structuring, detail oriented and visually perceptive, prefers individual project work and has poor social skills; while Field-dependent (FD) cognitive learner is described as global (wholistic), group-oriented, sensitive to social interactions and criticisms, externally motivated, externally referential, not visually perceptive, a non-verbal and passive learner who prefers external information and group projects (Hall, 2000; Calcaterra, Antonetti & Underwood, 2005; Guisande, Paramo, Tinajero & Almedida, 2007). A summary of the differences between the two dimensions of cognitive styles (Field Dependence and Field Independence) is shown in Table 1.

Field Dependence (FD) (Non-Analytic)	Field Independence (FI) (Analytic)
Have comprehensive perception	Excellent at analytical thinking
Perceive objects as a whole and approach a task more holistically	Focus on individual parts of the object and tend to be more serialistic in their approach to learning
Rely on external references	Rely more on internal references
More influenced by format-structure	Less affected by format structure
More reliant on salient cues in learning	Tend to sample more cues inherent in the field and are able to extract the relevant cues necessary for the completion of a task
Likely to use active cognitive strategies	Likely to use passive cognitive strategies
Adopt a hypothesis-testing role in learning	Adopt a spectator role in learning
Likely to benefit from a self-directed emphasis	Tend to prefer more structured learning environments
Self-view is derived from others	Has sense of separate identity
Not well-skilled in social/interpersonal relationships	Highly skilled in interpersonal/social relationships

 Table 1: Differences between Field Dependence and Field Independence Cognitive Styles
 Source: Wyss (2002), Chen and McCredie, (2004)

Cognitive process styles affect how one stores knowledge and retrieves it when the need arises (Tinajero & Paramo, 2010). The students' cognitive styles may hinder or facilitate the acquisition of knowledge in Science and Technology (Okwo& Otuba, 2007). Studies (Idika, 2017; Okoye, 2016; Agboghoroma, 2015; Owoduni, Sanni, Nwokolo & Igwe, 2016; Ezeugwu, Nji, Anyaugbunam, Enyi & Eneja, 2016; Bassey, Umoren & Udida, 2013) reveal that there is a difference between the mean achievement of Science and Mathematics of students with analytical (FI) cognitive styles and those with relational and inferential (FD) cognitive styles while Ndirika (2013) opined that ability levels have no significant effect on the achievement of students. Also, Okereke (2011), Anidoh and Eze (2014) reported that cognitive styles and gender have influence on students' achievement. Nevertheless, there is no specific study on the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Nasarawa State, hence, there is a need to investigate how upper basic III students' cognitive styles and gender influence their achievement in Science.

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Basic Science is foundational and prerequisite for Science and Technology courses at the Senior Secondary and higher institutions of learning. Despite its importance, underachievement in this subject has become a thing of concern to stakeholders in Education. This persistent underachievement in Science especially at the basic level is linked to factors of teaching and learning. Based on these, effort is geared towards improving teaching and learning strategies and yet students' achievement is still below expectation. On this note, there is need to turn to find out what could be the influence of other variables such as cognitive styles on students' achievement in Basic Science. The problem of this study therefore is, what is the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria?

1.2. Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Nasarawa State. Specifically, this study sought to find out the influence of;

- Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles on students' achievement in Basic Science.
- Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style on male and female students' achievement in Basic Science.
- Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style on male and female students' achievement in Basic Science.

1.3. Research Questions

The following research questions guided the study;

- What are the mean achievement scores of students with Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
- What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Independent (FI) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
- What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?

1.4. Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were tested at 0.05α level.

- Ho₁: Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of students in Basic Science.
- Ho₂: Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.
- Ho₃: Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style has no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

2. Methodology

The study was a casual comparative research design. The study was carried out in Keffi Education Zone of Nasarawa State. The population consisted of 1,890 (987 males and 903 females) upper basic III Science and mathematics students in 25 coeducational schools in the zone. The sample of the study comprised 245 upper basic III Science and mathematics students (139 males and 106 females) drawn from six intact classes of six coeducational schools in the zone. The schools were randomly selected using disproportionate stratified random sampling techniques from the schools that satisfied the condition that their students were taught by qualified teachers over the years. Two instruments were employed for data collection; they are: CSC and BSAT. The Cognitive Style Checklist (CSC) was adapted Robert Wyss (2002) CSC, it consists of 10 simple statements from which subjects in the research were to indicate the ones applicable to them. The checklist was used to categorize students based on their cognitive styles. It was divided into two substatements. Sub-statement A represents the characteristics of the Field Independent (FI) while sub-statement B represents those of Field Dependent (FD). The instrument was subjected to construct and face validity by expert in Measurement and Evaluation from Nasarawa State University, Keffi. It reliability was determined through test-retest and the reliability coefficient of 0.79 was obtained. The Basic Science Achievement Test (BSAT) is a 20 multiple choice achievement test designed to measure students' achievement in Basic Science. Each item has 5-options A-E. the test was based on the units of study (Magnetism, Electrical Energy and Radioactivity) in upper basic III Science curriculum used for the study. The instrument was subjected to content and face validity by expert in Science Education from Nasarawa State University, Keffi. It reliability was determined using Kuder-Richardson formula 20 (KR₂₀) and the reliability coefficient of 0.83 was obtained. The two instruments were administered on two separate days. On the first day, the CSC was administered and on the second day, BSAT was administered with the help of research assistants who were seasoned teachers in the sampled schools. Descriptive statistics of means and standard deviations were used to answer the research questions while Z-test was used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance.

3. Results

3.1. Research Question One

What are the mean achievement scores of students with Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?

The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 2.

Cognitive Styles	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	Z-cal	Z-crit.	Decision
FI	136	49.11	13.76				Reject H₀
FD	109	41.50	12.54	243	36.22	1.96	
Total	245						

Table 2: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-test of Students' FI and FD Cognitive Styles and Achievement in Basic Science Table 2 shows that the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students in the FI group stood at 49.11 with SD of 13.76. It also shows that the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students in the FD group stood at 41.50 with SD of 12.54.

3.2. Hypothesis One

Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of students in Basic Science.

From Table 2, Z-calculated = 36.22 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students with FI and FD Cognitive styles. Hence, Basic Science students in FI group achieved higher than those in the FD group.

3.3. Research Question Two

What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Independent (FI) cognitive styles in Basic Science?

The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 3.

Cognitive Styles	Gender	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	Z-cal	Z-crit.	Decision
FI	Male	82	37.42	11.71				Reject H₀
					243	32.16	1.96	-
	Female	54	28.34	11.98				
Total		136			1			

 Table 3: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-Test of Male and Female Science

 Students' Ficognitive Style and Achievement in Basic Science

Table 3 shows that the mean achievement scores of male Basic Science students in the FI group stood at 37.42 with SD of 11.71. The mean achievement scores of their female counterparts is 28.34 with SD 11.98.

3.4. Hypothesis Two

Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

From Table 3, Z-calculated = 32.16 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of male and female Basic Science students with FI Cognitive style. Hence, male students in both FI achieved higher in Basic Science than their female counterparts.

3.5. Research Question Three

What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?

The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 4.

Cognitive Styles	Gender	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	Z-cal	Z-crit.	Decision
FD	Male	64	48.11	10.81				Reject H₀
					243	28.77	1.96	-
	Female	45	34.86	11.22				
Total		109						

Table 4: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-Test of Male and Female Science Students' ED Cognitive Styles and Achievement in Basic Science

Students' FD Cognitive Styles and Achievement in Basic Science

Table 4 shows that the mean achievement scores of male Basic Science students in the FD group stood at 48.11 with SD of 10.81. The mean achievement scores of their female counterparts is 34.86 with SD 11.22.

3.6. Hypothesis Three

Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style has no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

From Table 4, Z-calculated = 28.77 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of male and female Basic Science students with FD Cognitive style. Hence, male students in both FD group achieved higher in Basic Science than their female counterparts.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than the those in the Field Dependence (FD). This finding is in agreement with the findings of (Idika, 2017; Okoye, 2016; Agboghoroma, 2015; Owoduni, Sanni, Nwokolo & Igwe, 2016; Ezeugwu, Nji, Anyaugbunam, Enyi & Eneja, 2016; Bassey, Umoren & Udida, 2013; Okereke, 2011) in their various researches reported that there is a difference between the mean achievement of students with analytical (FI) cognitive styles and those with relational and inferential (FD) cognitive styles in Science and other related subjects. But in contrast with the findings of Maghsudi (2007), Guisande, Paramo, Tinajero and Almeida (2007) who in their different researches reported that cognitive styles are not affected by intelligence and that Field Dependence/Independence focuses on the process of learning rather than ability.

The findings of this study revealed that male Basic Science students in both Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved significantly better than their female counterparts. This findingagrees with the findings of Ndirika (2013) and Aniodoh and Eze (2014) who reported that cognitive styles and gender have influence of students' achievement in Science, and other related subjects. Learning Basic Science involves critical and deep thinking as well as display of initiatives. The reason for the high achievement of students with Field Independence level of cognitive style could be because, Field Independence individuals are excellent analytical thinkers who view things from serialistic and detailed manner. The more Field Independence a students are, the more likelihood for them to achieve meaningful learning.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than those in the Field Dependence (FD). The findings also revealed that male students in both the Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved better than the female students significantly in Basic Science.

6. Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations were made;

Seminars and workshops should be organized to adequately equip teachers with the needed skills to create an environment where students with different cognitive styles can experience meaningful learning of Basic Science.

7. References

- Agboghoroma, T. E. (2015). Interaction effects of cognitive style and instructional mode on students' knowledge of i. Integrated Science. European Journal of Research and Refection in Educational Sciences, 3(1), 47-54.
- ii. Agu, P. A. & Samuel, R. I (2018). Effect of reversed jigsaw, tai cooperative and guided discovery learning strategies on basic science and technology students' interest and achievement. International Journal of Innovative Education Research, 6(2), 19-26.
- III. Alabi, O.A. (2014). Effect of activity based teaching strategy on students' achievement on secondary school students in Chemistry. Journal of Education and Policy review; 6(2), 119-128.
- Anidoh, H. C. O., & Eze, G. N. (2014). Enhancing girls' participation in Science through feminist pedagogical iv. techniques: A panacea for gender gap in Science and Technology classrooms. STAN 55th Annual Conference Proceedings, 292-296.
- Bassey, S. W., Umoren, G., & Udida, L. A. (2013). Cognitive styles, secondary school students' attitude and V. performance in Chemistry in Akwa Ibom State-Nigeria. www.hbcse. tifr.res.in/bassey.
- Bukunola, B.A.J. & Idowu, O.D. (2012). Effectiveness of cooperative learning strategies on Nigerian junior vi. secondary students' academic achievement in Basic Science. British Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science, 2(3), 307-325.
- Calcateria, A., Antonetti, A., & Underwood, J. (2005). Cognitive style, hypermedia navigation and learning. Journal vii. of Computer and Education, 44, 441-457.
- viii. Chen, S. Y., & McCredie, R. D. (2004). Cognitive modelling of students learning in web-based instructional programmes. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 17 (3), 375-402.
- De-Ture, M. (2004). Cognitive style and self-efficacy. The American Journal of Distance education, 18 (1), 21-38. İX.
- Eriba, J. O. & Samuel, R. I. (2018). Effect of stad and jigsaw iv cooperative learning strategies on students' interest Х. and achievement in basic science. Case Study International Journal, 7(4), 6-14.
- Ezeugwu, J. O., Nji, G. C., Anyaegbunam, N. J., Enyi, C., & Eneja, R. U. (2016). Influence of cognitive ability, gender xi. and school location on students' achievement in senior secondary school Financial Accounting. European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences, 89, 1-21.
- xii. Federal Government of Nigeria (2014). National policy on education, NERDC, Press Lagos.
- xiii. Guisande, M. A., Paramo, M. F., Tinajero, k., & Almedia, S. A. (2007). Field dependence-independence (FDI) cognitive style. An analysis of Attention Functioning Psicothema, 19(4), 572-577.
- Hall, J. K. (2000) Field dependence-independence and computer-based instruction in Geography. xiv.
- Doctoral Dissertation, Virginia: Polytechnic Institute and State University. XV.
- Idika, M. I. (2017). Influence of cognitive styles and gender on secondary school students' achievement in and xvi. attitude to Chemistry. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal. 4(1), 129-139.

- xvii. Igboegwu, E. N., & Okonkwo, I. G. A. (2012). Influence of gender and location of school on students' achievement in chemistry. Journal of Research in Education, 1(1), 1-14.
- xviii. Kabutu, F.R., Oloyede, O.I. & Bandele, M.F. (2015). An investigation into the achievement of junior secondary school students taught integrate science using the cooperative learning strategy in Nigeria. European Journal of Physics and Chemistry, 7(2), 63-73.
- xix. Kola, A. J., & Taiwo, A. K. (2013). Analysis of gender performance in physics in Colleges of Education, Nigeria. Journal of Education and Practices, 4(6), 1-5.
- xx. Maghsudi, M. (2007). The interaction between field dependent/independent learning styles and learners' linguality in third language acquisition. Language in India, 7, 1-16.
- xxi. Ndirika, M. C. (2013). Investigating gender disparity in Science enrolment and achievement in
- xxii. Abia State: Towards achieving the MDG's goals. STAN 5th Annual conference Proceedings, 292-296.
- xxiii. Nwadinigwe, I. P., & Azuka-Obieke, U. (2012). The impact of emotional intelligence on academic achievement of senior secondary school students in Lagos, Nigeria. Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policies Studies (JETERAPS), 3(4), 395-401.
- xxiv. Okereke, C. (2011). Influence of gender, school location and the use of play simulation on school achievement in Chemistry. Journal of Innovation and Development, 9(1), 25-29
- xxv. Okoye, P. O. (2016). Influence of gender and cognitive styles on students' achievement in Biology.
- xxvi. International Journal of Science and Technology, 5(1), 59-65.
- xxvii. Oludipe, D. I. (2012). Gender difference in Nigerian junior secondary students' academic achievement in basic science. Journal of Educational and Social Research, 2(1), 93-99.
- xxviii. Omiko, A. (2017). Effect of guided discovery method of instruction and students' achievement in chemistry at the secondary school level in Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific Research and Education: 5(2), 6226-6234.
- xxix. Oni, J.O. (2014). Teacher method of teaching and student academic achievement in Basic Science and Technology in junior secondary schools in South-West, Nigeria. Journal of Education and Social Research, 4(3), 397-402.
- xxx. Onuekwusi, C. N., & Ogoamaka, P. M. C. (2013). Gender and school location as factors in human capital development of chemistry students in secondary schools. Nigerian Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation, 12(1), 80-85.
- xxxi. Okwo, F. A., & Otuba, S. (2007). Influence of gender and cognitive style on students' achievement in Physics essay test. Journal of Science Techers Association of Nigeria, 41(2), 94-97.
- xxxii. Osokoya, M.M. (2013). Teaching methodology in basic science and technology classes in South-West Nigeria. Asian Journal of Education, 1(4), 206-214.
- xxxiii. Owodunni, A. S., Sanni, T. A., Nwokolo-Ojo, J., & Igwe, C. O. (2016). Influence of cognitive styles on Technical Drawing students' achievement in senior secondary schools in federal Capital territory, Abuja. International Journal of Design and Technology Education, 6, 104-115
- xxxiv. Riding, R. J., & Sadler-Smith, E. (1997). Cognitive style and learning strategies: Some implications per training design. International Journal of Training and Development, 3, 199-208.
- xxxv. Samuel, I.R. (2017). Assessment of basic science teachers' pedagogical practice and students' achievement in Keffi Educational Zone, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. An Unpublished Masters Dissertation, Nasarawa State University, Keffi.
- xxxvi. Tinajero, C., & Paramo, M. F. (2010). Field dependence-independence cognitive style and academic achievement; A review of research and theory. European Journal of Psychology of Education, 13, 227-251.
- xxxvii. Wyss, R. (2002). Field independent and dependent learning styles and L2 acquisition. The weekly column.