

# ISSN 2278 – 0211 (Online)

# **Factors Affecting the Use of In-Vitro Fertilization** (IVF) among Married Couples in Anambra State, Nigeria

Nneka Ihuoma Okafor Lecturer, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria Peter Ezeah Associate Professor, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka Nigeria Obianuju Udeobasi

Lecturer, Department of Sociology & Anthropology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria

# Abstract:

There is a high rate of infertility in Nigeria; but solution to the problem is adversely affected by socio-cultural factors. Although there are many treatment options for infertility, recently global focus is on In Vitro Fertilization (IVF). However, the use of In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF) tend to be affected by socio-economic and cultural factors in Anambra state giving rise to unmet need for bio technology in the treatment of infertility in the area. This study thus investigated the factors affecting the use of IVF in the treatment of infertility among married couples in Anambra State, Nigeria. The study is a cross-sectional survey and used both quantitative and qualitative methods. The sample size was 589 married couples aged 18 years and above who were selected using the multi-stage sampling technique made up of cluster, simple random sampling and systematic, sampling techniques. Data were collected using the questionnaire schedule and the Key Informant Interview (KII) Guide. The quantitative data were analysed with descriptive statistics, while thematic content analysis was used in analysing the qualitative data. The findings show that religious beliefs (27.1%), cultural belief/practices (25.4%), and cost of IVF treatment (20.5%) are some of the strong factors affecting the use of IVF in treatment of infertility in Anambra state. Efforts should therefore be made by the government to reduce the cost and as well intensify the creation of awareness to dispel the cultural beliefs inhibiting IVF and promote its use amongst infertile couples in Anambra State.

Keywords: IVF, Married Couples, Socio-Economic and Cultural factors, Infertility

# 1. Introduction

The prevalence of infertility cases tend to be on the increase worldwide, particularly in Africa as reported from several African societies. In fact, an infertility belt has been described in Africa (Okonofua, 2003). Infertility is defined as a disease of the reproductive system that affects individuals and groups (Infertility: Facts, Disease prevention & Treatment strategies, 2006). It is medically perceived as the inability to achieve a pregnancy after a year or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse (WHO, 2002; ASRM, 2008). It accounts for over 50% of cases reported in gynecology clinics in the developing countries. In fact, "Infertility belt" has been described in sub-Saharan African countries (SSA) where about 20-35 million couples are affected by the inability to give birth to a child (Vayena et al., 2002; Okonofua, 2005).

Medical advances have shown that most cases of infertility are treatable. All kinds of Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ARTs) have been introduced to eliminate infertility problems. High technology options that aid conception are *In vitro Fertilization* (IVF), *Gamete Intra Fallopian Transfer* (GIFvT), *Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection* (ISCSI) (Ikechebulu, 2003). IVF pertains to advanced medical techniques that aid fertilization in women in order to fulfill the personal and societal ideal of having children (Inhorn, 2002). IVF has been used safely and effectively for more than two decades for successful treatment of infertility (Smith, *et al.* 2012). It is perceived as an increasingly popular choice for infertile couples worldwide, particularly in the developed countries. The first IVF baby Louise Brown was born in 1978 in England, some 35 years ago, since then the number of cycles performed in many developed countries has grown by 5–10% per annum over the past decade (CDC & ASRM/ SART, 2008; ESHRE, 2009a; ICMART, 2009a; Wang et al., 2009; Ajayi, 2013). It is estimated that approximately about 3.5 to 5 million children have been born worldwide following ART treatment (ESHRE, 2008). There are no accurate figures and proper documentation of success rates in many African countries, (Ajayi, 2012) but in Nigeria, this innovation has changed the prospect of thousands of married couples that were unable to have children.

Success rate varies from centre to centre, most importantly according to the patient's age. For women under 30 years of age, the success rate is over 60% while for those between 30 to 35 years, the success is about 50% and for those between 35 to 40 years, it is about 40%. If the infertile woman is over 40 years, it is about 33% (Okewale, 2013; Wada, 2013). According to available statistics based on the performance indicator from various centres across the country, it is estimated that over 40,000 babies have been born through IVF in Nigeria since 1989 till date (Ajayi 2013; Ashiru 2013; Giwa-Osagie 2013). The first IVF birth in black Africa was led by the Dapo Ashiru-Giwa-Osagie team on March 17, 1989, at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi-Araba (Ashiru 2012; Giwa-Osagie 2013; Ajayi, 2013). According to Ashiru (2012) Nigeria has recorded 40% increase in IVF pregnancy success rate from 10% in the 70s to 50% in the 2010s. Recently, a 60 year-old woman was delivered of a baby girl by Dr. Tunde Okewale's led team on Monday June 19, 2014 at St Ives Hospital, Lagos (Nigerian Tribune, 2014).

The first IVF birth in Anambra state was led by the Prof. Joseph Ikechebelu team on August 16, 2011, at Life Specialist Hospital, Nnewi. Since the birth of baby Joseph, Anambra state's first IVF embryo transfer birth in 2011 some three years ago, the use of ART, such as IVF, have transformed the treatment of infertility and sub fertility in the state. More than 20 babies have been born through IVF in Anambra state till date through IVF (Fides, 2011; Outlook, 2011; Pilot, 2011). However, there are a lot of misconceptions about IVF in Nigeria and in Igbo land particularly. IVF is perceived as a new phenomenon shrouded in secrecy and stigma due to misconception, social & cultural factors, ignorance and religious sentiments. It is perceived as abnormal, not natural, and worsened by the fact that IVF is perceived as a costly treatment which is still beyond the reach of teeming poor masses that are affected by the condition. Some couples view IVF as a good option to follow, many others are hesitant about it because IVF is fraught with social and cultural challenges, norms and values about natural process of reproduction. High cost of IVF, misconception of what IVF is all about, religious belief/convictions cultural/traditional belief and failure of IVF treatment after paying so much money play prominent roles in Nigeria, and these are potential factors that may influence the utilization of IVF in Anambra state. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the socio-cultural factors affecting the use of IVF in the treatment of infertility among married couples in Anambra state, Nigeria. The following research questions guided the study:

- i. What is the perception of In vitro fertilization (IVF) in the treatment of infertility among married couples in Anambra state?
- ii. What are the socio-economic factors affecting the use of IVF in them treatment of infertility among married couples in Anambra state?
- iii. What are the socio-cultural factors affecting the use of IVF in the treatment of infertility among married couples in Anambra state?
- iv. How can the use of IVF in the treatment of infertility be enhanced in Anambra state?

# 2. Theoretical Framework

Talcott Parsons' Voluntary Social Action theory which is a variant of the functionalist perspective with emphasis on the constraint of individuals within particular custom and values, is adopted for the study in an attempt to explain human behaviour as it relates to socio-cultural factors and their influence on the use of In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF) in the treatment of infertility amongst married couples in Anambra state. Voluntary Social Action is very much like Weber's social action theory, which asserts the primacy of society over the individual person (Giddens, 2000 in Isiugo-Abanihe & Nwokocha, 2008). The theory argues that societies exert social constraint over the actions of individuals. In other words, one's social-economic and cultural environments are dictated by norms which in turn define his/her actions in a given social context. The theory thus, sees behaviours related to the use of In-Vitro Fertilization in the treatment of infertility within the context of social and cultural norms and values of people. This is in line with the observation of Oke (1996) cited in (Isiugo-Abanihe & Nwokocha, 2008) that there is an inextricable association between socio-cultural factors and the use of health services such as In-Vitro Fertilization(IVF).

This theory focuses on the course of action as determined by the conditions of the socio-cultural environment; society influences the end, which the actor seeks and the mean she or she will use in attaining them. One's environment and the structures inherent in it shape as well as dictate individual actor's perception and attitude towards a particular action. With respect to In-Vitro Fertilization, while there is a strong desire by the people to seek treatment for infertility through various means such as In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF), but the high cost and the associated norms and beliefs affect its use as treatment option for infertility in Anambra state.

# 3. Methods

This was a cross-sectional study carried out in Anambra state, South-East Nigeria and based on quantitative and qualitative methods. The sample size for the quantitative component was 589 married couples aged 18 years and above who were resident in Anambra state at the time of this study and were selected using the multi-stage sampling technique made up of cluster, simple random sampling and systematic sampling techniques. First, Anambra State was clustered into three (3) Senatorial Districts made up of seven (7) LGAs each, then using the balloting variant of simple random sampling technique, two clusters were selected namely Anambra North and Anambra South. The LGAs in the selected two clusters were numbered and then with the application of the simple random sampling technique, two LGAs were selected namely Awka South and Nnewi North. Furthermore, the communities in Awka South and Nnewi North, were numbered and through the aid of the simple random sampling technique, one community was selected from each namely Awka town in Awka South LGA and Umudim in Nnewi North LGA. The households in the selected communities were numbered and a couple was selected randomly from each household. For the qualitative component, 4 respondents were purposively selected made up of 2 medical practitioners and 2 women receiving IVF treatment who were not part of the quantitative component. Data were collected using the questionnaire and the Key Informant Interview (KII) Guide. Quantitative data obtained were analyzed using the

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), presented and interpreted using descriptive statistics such as simple percentages, frequency distribution tables, graphs and charts. The KII interviews were transcribed and analyzed using thematic content analysis.

# 4. Results

# 4.1. Demographic Characteristics

The socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents are summarized in Table 1

Group	Demographic	Frequency (n=589)	Percentage %	
Sov	Male	199	33.8	
Sex	Female	390	66.2	
	18-22	5	0.8	
	23-27	64	10.9	
	28-32	142	24.1	
	33-37	37       129 $42$ 83 $47$ 79 $52$ 41 $57$ 20         +       26         (18-34)       260         d (35+)       329 $22$ 97 $27$ 166 $32$ 202 $37$ 98 $42$ 16 $47$ 10         ng together       533         ving together       34         ated       6         arced       10         wed       6         amous       545         umous       44         ears       257         years       92         years       92         years       12         /ears       38         ce       564         ice       22         ce+       3         ne       2         d Primary       22         Secondary       166         iary       399         hucation       190	21.9	
Age	38-42	83	14.1	
	43-47	79	13.4	
	48-52	41	7.0	
	53-57	20	3.4	
	58+	26	4.4	
	Younger (18-34)	260	44.1	
Age Recoded	Advanced (35+)	329	55.9	
	18-22	97	16.5	
	23-27	166	28.2	
	28-32	202	34.3	
Age at first marriage	33-37	Male         199           Female         390           18-22         5           23-27         64           28-32         142           33-37         129           38-42         83           43-47         79           48-52         41           53-57         20           58+         26           7ounger (18-34)         260           Advanced (35+)         329           18-22         97           23-27         166           28-32         202           33-37         98           38-42         16           43-47         10           ried/Living together         533           ed not living together         34           Separated         6           Divorced         10           Widowed         6           Monogamous         545           Polygamous         44           0-5 years         257           6-10 years         149           11-15 years         92           16-50 years         12           25+ years         38 <td< td=""><td>16.6</td></td<>	16.6	
	38-42	16	2.7	
	43-47	10	1.7	
	Married/Living together	533	90.5	
	Married not living together	34	5.8	
Marital Status	Separated	$\begin{array}{c c} 199 \\ 199 \\ 390 \\ 5 \\ 64 \\ 142 \\ 129 \\ 83 \\ 79 \\ 41 \\ 20 \\ 26 \\ 260 \\ 260 \\ 260 \\ 260 \\ 329 \\ 97 \\ 166 \\ 202 \\ 98 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 533 \\ 34 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 533 \\ 34 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 533 \\ 34 \\ 6 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 545 \\ 44 \\ 257 \\ 149 \\ 92 \\ 41 \\ 12 \\ 38 \\ 564 \\ 22 \\ 41 \\ 12 \\ 38 \\ 564 \\ 22 \\ 149 \\ 92 \\ 41 \\ 12 \\ 38 \\ 564 \\ 22 \\ 149 \\ 92 \\ 41 \\ 12 \\ 38 \\ 564 \\ 22 \\ 166 \\ 399 \\ 190 \\ 399 \\ 190 \\ 399 \\ 18 \\ 237 \\ 165 \\ 13 \\ 16 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ \end{array}$	17	
Waritar Status	Divorced	10	1.7	
	Widowed	6	1.7	
	Monogamous	545	92.5	
Marriage Type	Polygamous	44	75	
	0.5 years	257	/3.6	
	6 10 years	1/0	25.3	
	11 15 years	02	15.6	
Marriage Duration	16 50 years	92	7.0	
	21.25 years	41	7.0	
	21-25 years	12	6.5	
		564	0.5	
En anna af an aris a s	Truice	304	93.8	
Frequency of marriage	Thriant	22	3./	
	I hrice+	3	0.5	
Educational Qualification	None	2	0.3	
	Completed Primary	22	3.7	
	Completed Secondary	166	28.2	
	Tertiary	399	67.7	
Educational Qualification	Lower education	190	32.3	
Recoded	Higher education	399	67.7	
Occupational Status	Protessional	18	3.1	
	Civil Service	237	40.2	
	Business/Trading	165	28.0	
	Apprentice	13	2.2	
	Artisan	16	2.7	
	Farming	6	1.0	

	Clergy	15m	2.5
	Self Employed	65	11.0
	Unemployed	52	8.8
	Retired	2	0.3
Occupation Recoded	Employed	255	43.3
	Unemployed	54	9.2
	Self employed	280	47.5
	<del>N</del> 0 - <del>N</del> 200,000	181	30.7
	<del>N</del> 200,001- <del>N</del> 400,000	129	21.9
Annual Income	<del>N</del> 400,001- <del>N</del> 600,000	89	15.1
Annual Income	<del>N</del> 600,001- <del>N</del> 800,000	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	10.5
	<del>N</del> 800,001- <del>N</del> 1,000,000	82	13.9
	<del>N</del> 1,000,001+	46	7.8
Annual Income Decoded	1,000,000 and below	543	92.2
Annual Income Recould	1,000, 001+	46	7.8
Rel. Affiliation/Denomination	Christianity-Catholic	297	50.4
	Christianity-Protestant	266	45.2
	Islam	11	1.9
	African Traditional Rel.	15	2.5
	Christian	563	95.6
Religious Affiliation Recoded	Non Christian	15m           65           52           2           255           54           280           181           129           89           62           82           46           543           46           297           266           11           15           563           26           589	4.4
	Total	589	100.0

 Table 1: Distribution of respondents by socio-demographic characteristics

 Source: Field survey, 2014

A total of six hundred (600) households and hospital respondents in Awka South and Nnewi North LGAs were selected out of which five hundred and eighty-nine (589) respondents validly completed the questionnaire. The socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents are shown in Table 1. The table shows that majority respondents in the study 390(66.2%) were females between 28-47 age brackets and well matured. Their minimum and maximum ages were 20 and 65 year respectively. The mean age of respondents was 37.59 years with a standard deviation of 9.196 and a median age of 36.00 years. Majority of the respondents 545(92.5%) were engaged in monogamous marriages and living together with their spouse. The duration of infertility ranged from less than a year to 25 years. Furthermore, 399(67.7%) of the respondents had attained higher education. Majority of the respondents 255(43.3%) were employed, 280(47.5%) were self employed while 54(9.2%) were unemployed. Respondents with annual income of N1, 000, 000 and above stood at 46(7.8%) however those with N1, 000, 000 and below stood at 543(92.2%). An over-whelming majority of the respondents year Christians 563(95.6%) and Christian respondents were further divided along the line of denomination; Catholic 297(50.4%), and Protestant 266(45.2%). This finding is indicative of the predominance of Christianity in southeast Nigeria.

4.2. Perceptions about in Vitro Fertilization (IVF) in Anambra State

Respondents' views about In vitro fertilization (IVF) are summarized in Figure 1, 2, 3 and Table 2.



Figure 1: Respondents views on awareness of In vitro fertilization (IVF)

First, the respondents were asked if they were aware of IVF. To this end, 201 (34.1%) of the respondents stated that they have heard about IVF before the survey, while more than half of the respondents 388(65.9%) have never heard about IVF. Views of the respondent on the source of information about IVF was summarized in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Respondents views on source of In vitro fertilization (IVF) information

In figure 1, majority of the respondents 86(14.6%) indicated that the commonest source of IVF information was through family/ relations while only very few respondents 18(3.1%), heard about it through the internet. The resopondents were also asked how they percieve IVF treatment. Their responses are summarized in the Table 2.

Response	Male	Female	Frequency	Percentage %
IVF offers hope	7	23	30	5.1
IVF is too costly	30	61	91	15.4
IVF is affordable/accessible	0	5	5	0.8
IVF is not natural	23	22	45	7.6
IVF is too elitist	1	6	7	1.2
Children through IVF are not accepted	5	5	10	1.7
Don't truly know what IVF is all about	8	5	13	2.2
Not Applicable	125	263	388	65.9
Total	199	390	589	100.0

 Table 2: Distribution of respondents by perception of In vitro fertilization (IVF)
 Source: Field survey, 2014

Table 2 shows that majority of the respondents 91(15.1%) perceive IVF as too costly and 45(7.6%) perceive IVF as unnatural while, 30(5.1%) indicated that IVF offers hope for infertile couples. However, 13(2.2%) of the respondents did not know what IVF is all about. This result shows that some individuals in Anambra state that have heard about IVF treatment, do not really know what IVF is all about or they may likely have lots of misconceptions about it. In other words, while awareness of IVF is high, knowledge of it is low in Anambra state. This finding was supported by a KII respondent who said that:

IVF offers hope however, there is an erroneous opinion in this part of the world that test tube babies are abnormal or unnatural. Definitely not, they are as normal and natural as naturally conceived babies. A look at all our test tube babies' pictures does not show that these babies are abnormal. In fact, it is even better to have your own baby through IVF than to 'play away march' (Male medical practitioner, aged 50 years).

Views of the respondents about utilization of IVF treatment was summarized in Figure 3.



*Figure 3: Respondents' views on the use of In vitro fertilization (IVF)* 

Figure 3 shows that among the respondents who were aware of IVF, majority of them 162(27.5%) have never used IVF while only 39(6.6%) have used IVF.

12	Vinue	files	n and anta	about	Casia		factors	fluencie	atlast	in	fortilization	and also we	in Table 2
ŧ.3.	views o	y ine res	ponaenis	aboui	Socio-	economic <sub>.</sub>	jaciors ii	ijiuencin	g ine u	ise in-viiro	jeriiizaiion	are snown	in rable 5

Socio-Economic Factors		Used IVF trea	tment or not?	Total	Р
		Yes	No		
High cost of IVE	Yes	38(20.5)	147(79.5)	185(100.0)	< 0.000
Then cost of TVT	Used IVF treatment or not?         Tota           Yes         No           Yes         38(20.5)         147(79.5)         185(100)           No         1(6.2)         15(93.8)         16(100)           Yes         12(20.3)         47(79.7)         59(100)           No         27(19.0)         115(81.0)         142(100)           Yes         0(0.0)         31(100.0)         31(100)           No         39(22.9)         131(77.1)         170(10)           Yes         33(32.7)         68(67.3)         101(10)           No         6(6.0)         94(94.0)         100(10)	16(100.0)			
IVE is not accessible	Yes	12(20.3)	47(79.7)	59(100.0)	< 0.000
IVI IS NOT decession	No	27(19.0)	115(81.0)	142(100.0)	
IVE is not available	Yes	0(0.0)	31(100.0)	31(100.0)	< 0.000
1 VI IS NOT AVAILABLE	No	39(22.9)	131(77.1)	170(100.0)	
IVF may fail after paying so much money for	Yes	33(32.7)	68(67.3)	101(100.0)	< 0.000
the treatment	No	6(6.0)	94(94.0)	100(100.0)	

 Table 3:Socio-Economic Factors Affecting the Use of IVF in Anambra State

 Source: Field survey 2014

The 3 shows that most respondents 147(79.5%) who are aware of IVF but would decline IVF procedure would do so because of high cost of IVF treatment; followed by 68(67.3%) who said that IVF may fail after paying so much money for the treatment; IVF is not accessible 47(79.7%) and IVF is not available 31(100.0%). The table further shows a statistical significant association between use of IVF and some socio-economic factors at P< 0.000. To further depict respondents' views on cost of IVF, their responses were graphically summarized in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Respondents' views on cost of In vitro fertilization (IVF)

The bar chart clearly shows that 135(22.9%), said that the cost of accessing IVF treatment is unaffordable while only 66(11.2%) of the respondents felt that IVF treatment procedure is fairly affordable. However, none of the respondent is of the view that IVF services is affordable. This implies that the procedure is too expensive and infertile persons with low income level may not likely use IVF treatment to solve their fertility problem. This result was corroborated by an IVF client who said that:

IVF is not affordable; if the money is not there you cannot use it. It is only those that live in the bracket of above average income that can afford it and that is why it is not a popular option for many infertile couples in the society (Male Engineer, aged 59 years).

# 4.4. Socio-Cultural Factors Affecting the Use of IVF in Anambra State

Respondents' views about socio-cultural factors influencing the use in-vitro fertilization are shown in Table 4.

		Used IVF trea	atment or not?	Total	Р
		Yes	No		
Peligious balief/dogma	Yes	35(27.1)	94(72.9)	129(100.0)	< 0.000
Kengious benei/dogina	No	4(5.6)	68(94.4)	72(100.0)	
Traditional beliefs	Yes	29(25.4)	85(74.6)	114(100.0)	< 0.000
Traditional benefs	No	10(11.5)	77(88.5)	87(100.0)	
Misconception of what IVE is all about	Yes	37(23.4)	121(76.6)	158(100.0)	< 0.000
whise onception of what I v I' is an about	No	2(4.7)	IVF treatment or not?         Total           'es         No           27.1)         94(72.9)         129(10)           5.6)         68(94.4)         72(10)           25.4)         85(74.6)         114(10)           11.5)         77(88.5)         87(10)           23.4)         121(76.6)         158(10)           23.4)         121(76.6)         158(10)           22.1)         127(77.9)         163(10)           7.9)         35(92.1)         38(10)           27.5)         58(72.5)         80(10)           14.0)         104(86.0)         121(10)           28.6)         5(71.4)         7(100)           19.1)         157(80.9)         119(10)	43(100.0)	
Lack of awareness about IVE	Yes	36(22.1)	127(77.9)	163(100.0)	< 0.000
Lack of awareness about 1 v 1	No	3(7.9)	35(92.1)	38(100.0)	
Lack of co-operation from husband	Yes	22(27.5)	58(72.5)	80(100.0)	< 0.000
Lack of co-operation from husband	No	17(14.0)	104(86.0)	121(100.0)	
IVE is not allowed by relatives/friends	Yes	2(28.6)	5(71.4)	7(100.0)	< 0.000
1 v 1 is not anowed by felatives/fifelids	No	37(19.1)	157(80.9)	119(100.0)	

 Table 4: Distribution of respondents by the use of IVF and cultural factors influencing the use of IVF treatment
 Source: Field survey 2014

Data in table 4 show that most respondents 94(72.9%) who would decline IVF would do so because of religious belief/dogma; followed by traditional belief 85(74.6%), misconception of what IVF is all about 121(76.6%), lack of awareness about IVF 127(77.9), Lack of co-operation from husband while IVF is not allowed by relatives/friends is the least. The association of user vs. non-users shows that these factors and use of IVF is statistically significant at P< 0.000 for all the factors. To further elucidate respondents' views on the cultural factor affecting the use of IVF their responses were graphically summarized in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Respondents views on the cultural factor affecting the use of IVF

Figure 5 clearly shows that the views of the respondents are almost evenly distributed with majority of the respondents 159(26.8%) indicating that religious belief is the major factor that affects their use of IVF treatment, while only 14(2.4%) had no idea. This implies that since views about infertility are social culturally constructed, infertility treatment also have socio-cultural implications and challenges. While some couples view this technology as a good option to follow, many people are apprehensive about it due to socio- cultural norms and values about natural process of reproduction. A KII respondent opined that:

Well, I cannot really say much but I think naturally it is only God who provides children for couples and not through the use of technologies. Culturally I disagree with the use of any form of assisted reproductive technology because as a cultured person, this idea about acting God counteract the totality of what we stand for (Male Civil servant aged 52 years).

A medical practitioner, among the KII respondent said that:

Procreation is important and deeply rooted in Igbo land. Anybody without a child is viewed with contempt. More so, when the general society gets to know about the inability of a couple to have children and resulting to unnatural method (IVF) they may be stigmatized, call their children abnormal or not natural and therefore may not be accepted by members of the kinsmen as part of their family members. This is why IVF technology is still masked in secrecy, stigma, misconceptions, and religious sentiments in Nigeria. (Male medical practitioner, aged 55 years).

4.5. Measures to Enhance the Use of IVF in the Treatment of Infertility in Anambra State

Respondents' views on how to enhance the treatment of infertility through the use of IVF in Anambra state are shown in Table 5.

		What is your sex?		Total	$\chi^2$			
Responses		Male	Female	Total				
Spousal co-operation and support	Yes	100(50.3)	249(63.8)	349(59.3)	$\chi^2 = 10.087,$ N=589,df=1, P=.001			
	No	99(49.7)	141(36.2)	240(40.7)				
	Total	199(100.0)	390(100.0)	589(100.0)				
Reduction of cost of IVF treatment	Yes	160(80.4)	347(89.0)	507(86.1)	$\chi^2$ =8.080,N=589, df=1, P=.004			
	No	39(19.6)	43(11.0)	82(13.9)				
	Total	199(100.0)	390(100.0)	589(100.0)				
Creation of awareness about of IVF treatment using the mass and print media	Yes	168(84.4)	368(94.4)	536(91.0)	$\chi^2$ =15.889,N=589, df=1, P=.000			
	No	31(15.6)	22(5.6)	53(9.0)				
	Total	199(100.0)	390(100.0)	589(100.0)				
Improved reproductive health knowledge to reduce misconception and stigma attached to IVF practice	Yes	59(29.6)	162(41.5)	221(37.5)	χ <sup>2</sup> =7.946,N=589, df=1, P=.005			
	No	140(70.4)	228(58.5)	368(62.5)				
	Total	199(100.0)	390(100.0)	589(100.0)				
Table 5. Choose tabulation of conden and measures to improve percention on the use of WE								

 Table 5: Cross tabulation of gender and measures to improve perception on the use of IVF

Source: Field survey 2014

Table 5 shows that female respondents 368(94.4%) and male respondents 168(84.4%) indicated enlightenment campaign/creation of awareness about IVF treatment using the mass and print media. 347(89.0%) of the female respondents and 160(80.4%) of the male respondents emphasized reduction of the cost of IVF treatment. The result shows that majority of the respondents indicated that creation of awareness about IVF treatment using the mass and print media and reduction of cost of IVF treatment is the major ways of improving the use of IVF. The result of the  $\chi^2 = 15.889$ , and  $\chi^2 = 8.080$  respectively which shows that enlightenment campaign/creation of awareness about IVF treatment was statistically significant at p< 0.000 while reduction of cost of IVF treatment was statistically significant at p< 0.004. This implies therefore that enlightenment campaign/creation of awareness about IVF treatment are strong determinant factors for improving perceptions and the use of IVF for the treatment of infertility in the society. The KII respondents interviewed also supported these findings.

"IVF service is not well grounded. Aside Life Specialist Hospital, Nnewi, which is a private hospital, we do not have public fully fledged ART centre here in Amanbra State. Therefore IVF centres are not readily available to those who may want to access the services" (Female IVF client aged 40 years).

Another KII respondent corroborated the observation and emphasized that:

To prevent infertility, there should be a lot of crusade about the condition, the treatment and care centres including IVF should be introduced in the tertiary hospitals which will drastically reduce the cost. The rate is very low here. In the entire state there is only one or two centres where IVF is done. But there are centres in Lagos, Port-Harcourt and Abuja. Some people are not aware of it and those who knew about it could not afford the high cost with low success rate. IVF is a very expensive treatment to embark on. Government should provide a lot of fund for research, training and treatment. They should subsidize the setting up, treatment and training of medical personnel (Male medical practitioner, aged 55 years).

#### 5. Discussion of Findings

Fertility and parenthood are highly valued in Anambra state and indeed Nigeria to the extent that procreation is usually considered the most important purpose of marriage. Results of this study show that infertility problems can be treated using assisted conception technology such as In Vitro Fertilization (IVF). The result shows that IVF is not favourably disposed to, due to a number of social and cultural challenges. It was found that acceptability and use of IVF intervention in resolving problem of infertility among the Igbos comes with an array of factors, such as high cost of IVF and religious dogmas. The strong influence of religion could be seen in the explanations offered for declining IVF. This implies that some people would decline IVF because they had faith that God would provide them with babies without having to resort to any assisted conception intervention. Key informant interviews on cultural factors influencing the use of IVF revealed various responses that pointed towards the negative influence of both Christianity and Islam on the acceptance of ART.

Others are traditional value attached to procreation or motherhood, misconception of what IVF is all about, lack of awareness about IVF, lack of co-operation from husband etc. All these act as catalysts for its use or non use. This is consistent with the study by Nwokocha (2004) who found that acceptability of IVF, and subsequently its adoption are contextually influenced by the prevailing socio-cultural factors. This finding also agrees with UNPA (2010) which reported that the adoption of IVF is equally not well embraced and that the reaction of people to assisted reproductive treatment is often negative. The reasons are not entirely on the cost alone but social cultural as well. It appears that the respondents accepted that IVF is the last resort to overcoming infertility

# 6. Conclusion

The emergence of IVF in the treatment of infertility is a welcome development because it offers hope for couples affected by the condition. However, IVF intervention is encumbered by social, economic and cultural, factors that affect it utilization in Anambra state. High cost of the treatment, religious dogmas and traditional norms and values about procreation are important determinant factors in the use of IVF. There is therefore an urgent need to vigorously create awareness about IVF treatment to dispel the misconceptions about IVF and enhance its utilization in Anambra state.

#### 7. Recommendations

The study has shown that majority of respondents experiencing infertility lamented the huge cost of procuring IVF treatment. There is therefore the need for government to subsidise the cost of infertility treatment. In addition, relevant NGOs and the private sector should be encouraged in subsidizing the cost infertility treatment. The study also recommends that to help reduce the rate of stigmatization attached to the practice in Anambra state it is important for the National Orientation Commission to initiate value reorientation among members of the society to re-address these suffocating cultural norms and values attached to procreation.

#### 8. References

- i. Ajayi, R. A. (2013). A decade of IVF in Nigeria: Our joy, their challenges. THISDAY April 20, 7Retrieved October 19, 2013 from http://www.thisdayonline.com;www.fertilityworld.org
- ii. American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), (2008). Definitions of infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. Fertility & Sterility, 89, 1603.
- Araoye, M. O. (2003). Epidemiology of infertility: Social problems of the infertile couples. West African Journal of Medicine 22, (2): 190-196.
- iv. Ashiru, A. O. (2013). Nigeria health sector requires complete revolution. The Guardian online publications, August 30, 6.
- v. Ashiru, A.O. (2012). Fertility fraud. Daily Sun 6(2343): 14 April 10.

- vi. CDC and ASRM/SART (2008). Assisted reproductive technology success rate: National summary and fertility clinic reports.US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART). Atlanta, GA: CDC.
- vii. European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), (2009a). Task Force on Ethics and Law 15: Cross-border reproductive care. Human Reprod, 23:2182-2184.
- viii. European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), 2008. Focus on Reproduction. Grimbergen, Belgium: ESHRE,
- ix. FIDES, (2011). Sept 17-30 vol. 18 no. 18
- x. Fidler, A. & Bernstein, J. (1999). Infertility: From a personal to a public health problem. Public Health Report, 114:494-511. Retrieved November 20, 2013 from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1308532/
- xi. Gbemi Solaja (2014, June 19). 60-year-old woman gives birth in Lagos ...After 31-year wait. Nigerian Tribune
- xii. Giddens, A. (2000). Sociology 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. U.K. : Polity Press
- xiii. Giwa-Osagie, O. F. (2001). Social and ethical aspects of assisted conception in Anglophone sub-Saharan Africa. In Vayena, E., Rowe, P.J. Griffin, P.D. (eds.), Report of a meeting on medical, ethical, and social aspects of assisted reproduction. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 50-54.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.09.009/doi:10.1093/humrep/dep343, 24, 1-5. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1308532/.
- xiv. Ifeduba, N.C. (2011) First IVF Baby Delivered in Anambra. Pilot Newspaper.
- xv. Ikechebulu, J.I. (2003). Assisted reproduction techniques (ART): The state of art in Nigeria. J Coll Med. 8, (1):1-6.
- xvi. Infertility: Facts, Disease Prevention and Treatment Strategies, (2006). Retrieved June 8, 2013, from http://www.asrm.org/Patients/FactSheets/Infertility-Fact; http://www.healingwithnutrition.com/idisease/infertility/infertility.html
- xvii. Inhorn, M.C. (2002) "The Local" confronts the "Global": Infertile bodies and new reproductive technologies in Egypt. In Inhorn, M.C & van Balen, F. (eds.), Infertility around the globe: A new thinking on childlessness and reproductive technologies, London: University of California Press, 263-282
- xviii. Isiugo- Abanihe, U.C. and Nwokocha, E.E. (2008). "Prevalence and Consequences of *Ewu-Ukwu* Custom in Mbaise, Imo state, Nigeria". *Nigerian Journal of Sociology and Anthropology* vol.6 pp.53-70.
- xix. International Encyclopedia of Marriage and Family, (2003) Symbolic Interactionism. Retrieved April 18, 2014 from Encyclopeda.com: http://www.encyclopedia.com/doc/1G2-3406900426.html
- xx. Larsen, U. (2000). Primary and Secondary infertility in sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Epidemiology, 23: 285-291.
- xxi. Larsen, U. (2003). Primary and secondary infertility in Tanzania. J Health Popul Dev Coun, 2-15.
- xxii. Nachtigall, R. D. (2006). International disparities in access to infertility services. Fertility & Sterility, 85, 871-875.
- xxiii. Nwokocha, E. E. (2004). Socio-cultural factors affecting pregnancy outcome among the Ibani of Rivers State, Nigeria. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Ibadan: Department of Sociology
- xxiv. Oke, E.A. (1996). "The Emergence of Medical Sociology". In E.A. Oke and B.E. Owumi (eds.) *Readings in Medical Sociology*. Ibadan: Resource Development and Management Services, pp.1-14 cited in Isiugo- Abanihe, U.C. and Nwokocha, E.E. (2008). "Prevalence and Consequences of *Ewu-Ukwu* Custom in Mbaise, Imo state, Nigeria". *Nigerian Journal of Sociology and Anthropology* vol.6 pp.53-70
- xxv. Okewale, T. (2013). Changing IVF's elitist veneer. THISDAYONLINE, Retrieved December 10, 2013 from http://www.ivfnigeria.blogspot.com/2013/12
- xxvi. Okonofua, F.E. (2003). "Infertility in Sub-Saharan Africa" in Okonofua, F.E & Odunsi, L. (eds.), Contemporary Obstetrics and Gynaecology for Developing Countries, Women's Health and Action Research Centre, 128-156.
- xxvii. Okonofua, F.E. (2005). Female and Male infertility in Nigeria. University Press. p. 9.
- xxviii. Okwelogu, I.S., Azuike, E.C., Ikechebelu, J.I., & Nnebue, C.K.C. (2012). In-Vitro Fertilization practice: Awareness and perceptions among women attending fertility clinics in Okija, Anambra State, Nigeria. AFRIMEDIC Journal, 3, (2): 6.
- xxix. Smith, C.A., Sheryl de Lacey, Chapman, M., Ratcliffe, J., Norman, R.J., Neil, J., Boothroyd C., et al. (2012). Acupuncture to improve live birth rates for women undergoing in vitro fertilization: A protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials Journal. Retrieved November 3, 2013 from http://www.trialsjournal.com/content/13/1/60
- xxx. UNFPA, (2010). Reproductive health and fertility issues in Nigeria's rural communities. UNFPA
- xxxi. Vayena, E., Rowe, P.J., Griffin, P.D., Daar, A.S., & Merali, Z. (2002). Infertility and social suffering: The case for ART in developing countries. In Vayena, E, Rowe PJ, Griffin PD, eds. Current Practices and Controversies in Assisted Reproduction. Report of a Meeting on Medical, Ethical and Social Aspects of Assisted Reproduction. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. p. 15-21.
- xxxii. Wada, I. (2013). Factors that determine IVF success rate. Retrieved October 2, 2013 from reporters365.com
- xxxiii. Wang, K., Li, J., Zhang, J.X., Zhang, L., Yu, J., & Jiang, P. (2007). Psychological characteristics and marital quality of infertile women registered for in vitro fertilization intra cytoplasmic sperm injection in China. Fertility & Sterility, 87, (4):792-798.
- xxxiv. World Health Organization, (2002). Task Force on diagnosis and treatment of infections, pregnancy and infertility. Geneva: World Health Organization, 128.
- xxxv. World Health Organization, (2010). Mother or nothing: The agony of infertility. WHO Bulletin, 88 (2): 877-953.
- xxxvi. Zegers-Hochschild, F., Adamson, G. D., de Mouzon, J., Ishihara, O., Mansour, R., Nygren, K., et al. (2009). International committee for monitoring assisted reproductive technology (ICMART) and the World Health Organization (WHO), Revised glossary on ART terminology. Fertility & Sterility, 92, (5): 1520-1524. Retrieved October 9, 2013 from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.09.009/